A Comparison of Kriging and Cokriging for Estimation of Underwater Acoustic Communication Performance

George P. Kontoudis and Daniel J. Stilwell

Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Center for Marine Autonomy and Robotics Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA

International Conference on Underwater Networks & Systems, Atlanta, USA October 24, 2019



# Motivation

**Setup:** Multiple underwater vehicles

Motivation: Collaborative autonomy with AUVs

 ${\sf Kriging}={\sf Gaussian}$  process

**Objective:** Build acoustic communication performance maps in real time

**Question:** Does kriging works well or model-based multivariate kriging (cokriging) works better?



# Problem Description

#### Given:

- Identical measurement model for two agents
- Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) measurements from an approximate communication performance model
- Range measurements at every communication event

## Goals:

- Predict the underwater acoustic communication performance
- Compute the variance of the prediction

## Steps:

- 1. Use ordinary kriging (univariate approach)
- 2. Use multicollocated cokriging (multivariate approach)  $\rightarrow$  proposed methodology
- 3. Compare the methodologies

October 24, 2019

# **Communication Performance**

Identical measurement model of all agents,

$$Y_i(\mathbf{x};t) = Z(\mathbf{x};t) + \epsilon$$

- $Y_i(\mathbf{x}; t)$ : measurement of communication performance
- $Z(\mathbf{x}; t)$ : Gaussian random field
- $\epsilon \sim (0, \sigma_Y^2)$ : zero-mean Gaussian noise
- Acoustic communication performance is the SNR
- Higher SNR results in better transmitted signal
- Employ the passive sonar equation



An acoustic communication scenario

## Passive Sonar Model

The passive sonar equation is expressed,

SNR = SL - TL - NL + DI

- SL: source level manufacturer
- ▶ TL: transmission loss
- ► NL: noise level
- DI: directivity index assume negligible

 $TL(r) = TL_{sph}(r) - TL_a(r) = 20 \log r - 0.00556r$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  TL $_{\rm sph}$ : spherical spreading loss spherical spreading
- TL<sub>a</sub>: attenuation frequency f = 25 kHz, absorption coefficient a = 5.56

• 
$$r = \|\mathbf{x}_{r} - \mathbf{x}_{t}\|_{2}$$
: range of two vehicles

October 24, 2019

# Noise Level

The noise comprises of ambient noise, transient noise, and self-noise,

 $\mathrm{NL} = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{amb}} + \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{trans}} + \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{self}}$ 

- ▶ NL<sub>amb</sub>: ambient noise
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{amb}} = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{ship}} \oplus \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{SS}} = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{SS}}$
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\rm NL_{ship}$ : shipping noise Wenz curves
  - $\blacktriangleright\ \rm NL_{SS}:$  sea state noise approximated by the Wenz curves
  - $\mathrm{NL}_\mathrm{SS} \gg \mathrm{NL}_\mathrm{ship}$  for f = 25 kHz
- ▶ NL<sub>trans</sub>: transient noise (e.g. biological) negligible for high signal frequency
- ▶ NL<sub>self</sub>: self-noise (e.g. propeller cavitation) negligible for high signal frequency

The simplified communication performance model,

$$SNR = SL - 20 \log r + 0.00556 r - NL_{SS}$$

# Noise Level

The noise comprises of ambient noise, transient noise, and self-noise,

 $NL = NL_{amb} + NL_{trans} + NL_{self}$ 

- ▶ NL<sub>amb</sub>: ambient noise
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{amb}} = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{ship}} \oplus \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{SS}} = \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{SS}}$
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\rm NL_{ship}$ : shipping noise
  - $\blacktriangleright\ \rm NL_{SS}:$  sea state noise approximated by the Wenz curves



The simplified communication performance model,

$$\mathrm{SNR} = \mathrm{SL} - 20 \log r + 0.00556 r - \mathrm{NL}_\mathrm{SS}$$

# Ordinary Kriging - Problem Setup

The Gaussian random field is modeled as,

$$Z(\mathbf{x}) = \mu + \nu(\mathbf{x}),$$

- >  $\mu$ : unknown constant mean large scale variation
- >  $\nu(\mathbf{x})$ : zero-mean Gaussian random field medium scale variation

Employ a linear unbiased estimator,

## Assumption

 $Z(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ : second-order stationary random field

$$\hat{Z}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} eta_j Z(\mathbf{x}_j) + (1 - \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} eta_j) \mu = eta^{\intercal} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x})$$

•  $\beta = [\beta_1 \dots \beta_{N_i}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ : unknown weights

•  $\sum_{j=1}^{N_j} \beta_j = 1$ : relaxes the assumption of a known global mean - unbiased estimator

October 24, 2019

# Ordinary Kriging - Minimization

Formulate the unconstrained minimization problem with a Lagrange multiplier,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{OK}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{OK}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{OK}}$$

- $\beta_{OK} = [\beta^{T} \lambda_{OK}]^{T}$ : vector of unknown weights
- $\lambda_{OK}$ : Lagrange multiplier

The non-singular matrix 
$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{OK}} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
 considers the redundancy of measurements

The vector  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{OK}}\coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  takes into account the closeness of the measurements to  $\mathbf{x}_0$ 

# Ordinary Kriging - Unique Solution

The unique solution,

$$oldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}igg(oldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \mathbf{1}\lambda_{ ext{OK}}igg)$$

where the Lagrange multiplier,

$$\lambda_{\rm OK} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - 1}{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}$$

The ordinary kriging variance,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{OK}}^{2}(Z(\mathbf{x}_{0})) = \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{OK}}\{Z(\mathbf{x}_{0})\} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{0} + \lambda_{\mathrm{OK}}$$

October 24, 2019

# Ordinary Kriging - Unique Solution

The unique solution,

$$oldsymbol{eta} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} igg( oldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \mathbf{1} \lambda_{ ext{OK}} igg)$$

where the Lagrange multiplier,

$$\lambda_{\rm OK} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\intercal} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^{\intercal} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}$$

The ordinary kriging variance,

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{OK}}^{2}(Z(\mathbf{x}_{0})) = \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{OK}}\{Z(\mathbf{x}_{0})\} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{0} + \lambda_{\mathrm{OK}}$$

Disadvantage - Univariate approach

Use only the SNR measurements w/o considering the range of vehicles

October 24, 2019

# Multivariate Spatial Estimation

Q: How can we use model knowledge to reinforce the estimation process?

The simplified communication performance model,

$$\mathrm{SNR}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathrm{SL} - 20 \log \mathbf{r} + 0.00556 \mathbf{r} - \mathrm{NL}_{\mathrm{SS}}$$

Use range of vehicles *r* measurements alongside SNR measurements in the estimation process!

# Ordinary Cokriging - Problem Setup

**Key Idea**: Augments the estimation process with the covariances and cross-covariances of the variables involved in the process.

**Application**: Use the range of the vehicles as a secondary variable in cokriging in order to improve the SNR estimation.

The ordinary cokriging estimator for two variables,

$$\hat{Z}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} \beta_{j,1} Z_1(\mathbf{x}_j) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} \beta_{l,2} Z_2(\mathbf{x}_l) = \beta_{\mathrm{COK},1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{Z}_1(\mathbf{x}) + \beta_{\mathrm{COK},2}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{Z}_2(\mathbf{x})$$

where the solution to the minimization problem,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{COK}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{COK}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{COK}}$$

October 24, 2019

# Ordinary Cokriging - Problem Setup

**Key Idea**: Augments the estimation process with the covariances and cross-covariances of the variables involved in the process.

**Application**: Use the range of the vehicles as a secondary variable in cokriging in order to improve the SNR estimation.

The **Practical Challenges** 1. Modeling of all covariances and cross-covariances 2. All covariances and cross covariances jointly need to be positive definite 3. Solution generates very large linear systems,  $(N_j + N_l + 2)$ -equations

where the solution to the minimization problem,

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{COK}} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{COK}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{COK}}$$

October 24, 2019

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Problem Setup

Multicollocated cokriging accounts for

- 1. All SNR measurements
- 2. All range measurements at the locations of the SNR measurements
- 3. Range at the location of interest



#### Lemma

The multicollocated cokriging model (or Markov Model 2) has been proven to be necessary and sufficient for cokriging in the stationary case.

## Proof.

#### The proof follows from<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Andre G Journel, 1999, Markov models for cross-covariances, *Mathematical Geology*. October 24, 2019 WuWNet: Comparison of Kriging and Cokriging for Communication Performance Estimation

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Preliminaries

## Assumption (Markov Screening)

The primary variable  $Z_1$  at any location  $\mathbf{x}_1$  depends conditionally only on the secondary variable  $Z_2$  at location  $\mathbf{x}_1$ ,

$$E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x}_1), Z_2(\mathbf{x}_2)\} = E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\}.$$

## Assumption (Bayesian Updating)

The primary and the secondary variables are linearly related through the correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0)$  at any location,

$$E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x})\} = \rho_{12}(0)Z_2(\mathbf{x}).$$

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Preliminaries

## Assumption (Markov Screening)

The primary variable  $Z_1$  at any location  $\mathbf{x}_1$  depends conditionally only on the secondary variable  $Z_2$  at location  $\mathbf{x}_1$ ,

$$E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x}_1), Z_2(\mathbf{x}_2)\} = E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\}.$$

## Assumption (Bayesian Updating)

The primary and the secondary variables are linearly related through the correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0)$  at any location,



# $E\{Z_1(\mathbf{x}) \mid Z_2(\mathbf{x})\} = \rho_{12}(0)Z_2(\mathbf{x}).$

October 24, 2019

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Problem Formulation

The covariogram,

$$\gamma_{12}(\mathbf{h}) = p\gamma_2(\mathbf{h})$$

- $p = \rho_{12}(0)\sigma_1/\sigma_2$ : slope of the linear regression
- $\sigma_1$ : standard deviations of the primary variable
- $\sigma_2$ : standard deviations of the secondary variable

Regression model of the primary variable on the secondary variable,

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = Z_1(\mathbf{x}) - pZ_2(\mathbf{x})$$

- $\triangleright$   $R(\mathbf{x})$ : orthogonal residual
- ▶ Since  $Z_1(\mathbf{x})$  and  $Z_2(\mathbf{x})$  are Gaussian,  $R(\mathbf{x})$  is also Gaussian

October 24, 2019

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Unique Solution

The orthogonal residual can be computed with the ordinary kriging,

$$\hat{R}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathsf{T}} R(\mathbf{x}),$$

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\beta_{\rm R}:$  residual corresponding weights of the ordinary kriging

Multicollocated ordinary cokriging estimator for two variables yields,

$$\hat{Z}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = pZ_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) + \hat{R}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \beta_{\mathrm{R},j} Z_{1,j} + p\left(Z_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \sum_{l=1}^{N_{l}-1} \beta_{\mathrm{R},l} Z_{2,l}\right)$$

# Multicollocated Ordinary Cokriging - Unique Solution

The orthogonal residual can be computed with the ordinary kriging,

$$\hat{R}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathsf{T}} R(\mathbf{x}),$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{R}}: \mbox{ residua} & \mbox{Advantages} \\ \hline 1. \mbox{ Does not require the cross-covariance function} \\ \hline 2. \mbox{ Significantly smaller system of equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations} \\ \hline 3. \mbox{ (} N_{j} + N_{l} + 2)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} > N_{j} \rightarrow (N_{j} + 1)\mbox{-equations, } N_{l} \rightarrow (N_{l} + 1)\mbox{-equa$ 

$$\hat{Z}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = pZ_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) + \hat{R}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \beta_{\mathrm{R},j} Z_{1,j} + p\left(Z_{2}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \sum_{l=1}^{N_{l}-1} \beta_{\mathrm{R},l} Z_{2,l}\right)$$

# Estimation Structure

The structure incorporates six stages,

- 1. Collection of measurements
- 2. Normalization of measurements
- 3. Computation of the correlation coefficient and the orthogonal residual
- 4. Ordinary kriging of the residual
- 5. Unknown location
- 6. Estimation the communication performance

The normalization follows,

$$ilde{Z}_{\delta,j} = rac{Z_{\delta,j} - \mu_{\delta}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\{Z_{\delta}\}}}$$



# Semivariogram

We model the semivariogram as a spherical function,

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} C_1(0) \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h}{\alpha}\right)^3\right) &, h < \alpha \\ C_1(0) &, h \ge \alpha \end{cases}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\alpha$ : kriging range beyond  $\alpha$ , measurements are considered uncorrelated
- ▶ *h*: distance of the measurements
- $C_1(0)$ : sill in practice  $C_1(0) = 1$  for the normalized data

# Semivariogram

We model the semivariogram as a spherical function,

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} C_1(0) \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{h}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h}{\alpha}\right)^3\right) &, h < \alpha \\ C_1(0) &, h \ge \alpha \end{cases}$$

- $\triangleright$   $\alpha$ : kriging range beyond  $\alpha$ , measurements are considered uncorrelated
- ► *h*: distance of the measurements
- $C_1(0)$ : sill  $C_1(0) = 1$  for the normalized data

#### **Estimation of Parameters**

The semivariogram parameters are user defined in this work

In practice they should be experimentally identified

# Simulation Environment

- ► The latent underlying mean of ambient noise follows  $\mu_{amb}(\mathbf{x}) = 0.3 + 1.2 e^{-\|\mathbf{x}-[0.5 \ 1]^{\mathsf{T}}\|^2} + e^{-\|\mathbf{x}-[1.5 \ 1.5]^{\mathsf{T}}\|^2}$
- Higher mean values represent more corrupted SNR with noise
- Signal frequency f = 25 kHz
- ▶ Resulting mean  $\mu_{amb}(\mathbf{x}) \in [0.50, 2.12]$  corresponds to  $\mathrm{NL}_{amb} \in [25, 45]$  dB
- Extreme environment, ranges from 1 to 33 knots for wind speed
- Source level SL = 181 dB



October 24, 2019

# Communication Performance Estimation - First Set

- 150 locations of measurements black for 1 and red for 2
- 283 unknown locations of interest
  gray for 1 and magenta for 2
- Did not collect measurements from increased ambient noise area
- SNR and range measurements are provided in the bottom row
- Cases:
  - 1. Correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0) = -0.098$
  - 2. Correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0) = -0.993$



WuWNet: Comparison of Kriging and Cokriging for Communication Performance Estimation

October 24, 2019

## Comparison - First Set

- Absolute error of the SNR with OK [- -]
- Absolute error of the SNR with MCOK [- -]
- First case, OK and MCOK have identical estimation outcomes
- Second case, MCOK outperforms and its mean is significantly lower 66.47%



# Communication Performance Estimation - Second Set

- 250 locations of measurements black for 1 and red for 2
- 183 unknown locations of interest
  gray for 1 and magenta for 2
- Collect measurements from the area with increased ambient noise
- SNR and range measurements are provided in the bottom row
- Cases:
  - 1. Correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0) = -0.064$
  - 2. Correlation coefficient  $\rho_{12}(0) = -0.957$



WuWNet: Comparison of Kriging and Cokriging for Communication Performance Estimation

October 24, 2019

# Comparison - Second Set

- Absolute error of the SNR with OK [- -]
- Absolute error of the SNR with MCOK [- -]
- > Second set, insufficient results for both techniques, even with more measurements
- ▶ First case, MCOK produces lower mean error 18.71%
- Second case, COK produces significantly lower mean 32.92%



# Conclusions

- Illustrate deficiencies in kriging for generating SNR estimates
- Using range as a secondary variable in a cokriging formulation outperforms kriging
- > Overall, the proposed multivariate framework outperforms the univariate approach
- > Only in certain cases the ordinary kriging computes similar absolute errors
- In realistic applications:
  - 1. Assumption of stationary global mean for both techniques is rather conservative
  - 2. Semivariogram parameters should be experimentally estimated
  - 3. Assumption of linear relationship for primary and secondary variables should be dropped

# Future Work

- ► Formulating online, distributed communication performance estimation algorithm
- Incorporate anisotropic sensing
- > Employ universal kriging techniques to capture trend variations
- Estimate semivariogram parameters with maximum likelihood techniques
- Application with our 690-AUVs (we are currently building 4)
- ► Envision to predict online the communication performance in a distributed fashion



October 24, 2019

# Thank You!

